



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,449	08/28/2000	Piotr Cofla	004770.00589	5441
22907	7590	01/29/2007		
BANNER & WITCOFF 1001 G STREET N W SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20001			EXAMINER LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
			ART UNIT 2132	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/29/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/648,449	COFTA, PIOTR	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin E. Lanier	2132	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Benjamin E. Lanier. (3) _____

(2) Christopher Glembocki. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 January 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 4, 6-9, 23 and 27-32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Geiger.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claim amendments, that would overcome Geiger, which clarify the use of different interfaces on the mobile device, and clarification with respect to the interactions between the claimed entities. The proposed amendments would not be entered if submitted after-final, because they would raise new issues. The proposed amendments would be entered if submitted with an RCE.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

PTOL-413A (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/648,449 First Named Applicant: Piotr Cofta
 Examiner: Benjamin Lanier Art Unit: 2132 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Christopher Glembocki - 2028243184 (2) Benjamin Lanier

(3) (4)

Proposed Date of Interview: January 17, 2007 Proposed Time: 11:00 (AM ☒/PM ☐)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description:

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej	1, 4, 6-9	Geiger	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) Rej	23, 27-32	Geiger	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) Rej	8	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:


Differences of Geiger prior art and discussion of proposed amendments - 1) use of different interfaces on mobile device or 2) control point is local to mobile device or 3) interactions between control point and control point issuer to authenticate token and interactions between token and token issuer to authenticate control point.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on 1/24/07

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.


 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature


 Examiner/SPE Signature

Christopher R. Glembocki
 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

38,800

Registration Number, if applicable